C.F. 71 & 72.

19 33

Department of Rev & Settlt

OFFICE Bd of Revenue

STATION Madras

No. 527

Dated 14-2-33

(B. 7 / 0 / 33)

To whom sent

Pages in the file

	Date	Initial
Given for copying		
Copied		
Examined		
Signed		
Given for despatch		
Despatched		
Indexed		
To be destroyed on*		

(SUBJECT.)

Allowance Taddk (South Kanara) Mangalore Kodaibail Vge Instn in 27- chand Mowleshwar Dev. Renewal in and for years - Sanctioned.

Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

R.O.C.11278-32-F-1

B779

South Kanara Collector's Office,

Mangalore, dated 1st Febry. 1933.

From

E. M. Gawne, Esq, I.C.S.,

Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,

Land Revenue & Settlement,

Madras.

B5

S i r,

Allowance--Tasdik--(South Kanara) Mangalore Taluk--Kodialbail village--No. 27 Karangalpady--Chandramauleshwar Dev --Arrears--Claim.

Item 226 abt 12 U.O.C.-(corresp) noted.

The annual tasdik of Rs.60/- payable to Chandramauleshwar Dev of Karangalpady has been held in deposit since 1920, owing to dissensions among its moktessors, M. Ramakrishna Poi, Vittal Bhatta and U. Ananth Naik. Vittal Bhatta is reported to have been managing the institution and has been periodically claiming the tasdik. but it could not be disbursed to him as the other two refused to co-operate with him and pass a joint receipt.

In 1929, the Board of Revenue in its Order No. B. Mis. 2078 dated 18.7.1929, found it unnecessary to admit the claim unless the three Moktessors jointly claimed the allowance or any of them filed an order of the Civil Court entitling him to receive the allowance.

U. Anantha Naik is dead and the other two applied in April last to the Tahsildar for the payment of arrears. During the course of enquiry it was found that the sanad held by M. Ramakrishna Pai was signed by only two members of the old Temple Committee, the third having resigned and his place remaining vacant. As owing to the invalid sanad, Mr. Ramakrishna Pai could not be recognised as Moktessor,

Mr. Fowler's

D/ 61 2/33

I asked the President Hindu Religious [illegible] he saw any objection to the arrears being disbursed to Vittal Bhatta. The President informs me that the Board sees no objection to the tasdik being paid to Vittal Bhatta and that the Board is appointing Vittal Bhatta as the Trustee.

There is no doubt that the tasdik was placed under deposits due to the fault of the Trustees. However as Vittal Bhatta is reported to have been seeing to the regularity of the services and the repairs of the institution and periodically claiming the tasdik, I recommend that the institution may be readmitted and he may be paid arrears of tasdik for the last six years subject to the usual deductions.

Your obedient servant,

Collector.

B

Allowance Taluk Sanction
Mangalore to Kodial Bail Sri
Krishna Rao S/o Late Chandra
Mukteshwara Dev ... year 2023
and Allowance Arrears ...
Sanctioned

(H) Read again vop no 20/8 dtd 7/1/33

p.108 & 779/33. Q.

Res(Rev) 527 dt 14.2.33

The Board Sanctions the Collector's proposal to readmit the institution no.27 - Karangalpady Chandra Mukteshwara Dev - of Kodial bail village Mangalore taluk and to pay the allowance to Vittal Bhatta for the past six years subject to the deductions specified in the note (3) to B.S.C. no.67(2)-2

p 444 dtd vol II

To the Collector of Sanctioned

dg 11/2/33

20/1/33

Note file

Allowance Tasdik (Sinta Dasara) Ma
Ek- Dodbal bail Tal - RD 27. Narasingha
Chandra Amrileshwara Dist - Arrears

B779/32

to A-S

Please see the Collector's ~~order~~ letter
at RP 1-2 of Sec file.

Cop 2078 RD
18.7.29

2 In view of the orders in (H)
not clear why the Collector should ask
the H.R.E. Board in the matter and
move the Board of Revenue on the basis
of the arrear allowance to Vittal Bhatta
If Sri. Anantha Naick is dead his heirs may
~~be allowed to~~ establish their claim.
The Sanad held by M. Ramakrishna was
invalid it is open to Vittal Bhatta to
discredit it on that score in the Civil
Court. However the H.R.E.B
is apprehensive that Bhatta may
of the temple and as it does not
to paying the arrears to its note
the Collector's proposal to ~~pay~~ readmit the existing
allowance to Vittal Bhatta for the
last years subject to the usual
stipulations in note (3) to para 2 of B
37 may be sanctioned

~~Forwarded~~ Mrs Commissioner
7/2/33 which necessitated the issue of the order at

seem to have changed. The Religious Endowments Board appears to have taken the temple under its control & is reported to be appointing a trustee for it. If so, BSO 78.(1) applies and the Govt. Case recognise the trustee appointed by the R. E Board and disburse the allowance to him. There is now no necessity to inspect all the three inc. reasons why have to give a formal receipt & produce a certificate from the Civil Court. The Civil proceedings may be approved.

p 469 of Vol III

S

SE
11 FEB 1933

8.2.33.

AD (2nd)

AD (2nd)

Draft 8.2.33